

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVEN	TOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/233,44	3 01/20/9	9 VAN LENGERICH		В	BVL-105
			\neg		EXAMINER
		HM12/0705			
DOUGLAS J	TAYLOR			WERMA	AN, E
GENERAL M	ILLS INC			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P O BOX 1	113				ì
MINNEAPOL	IS MN 55440	•		1617	/ (
				DATE MAILED:	
					07/05/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/233443	VAN LENGERICA
	Examiner WESM	Group Art Unit
	00 6 8701	161/
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet	beneath the correspondence address-
Peri d for Response	•	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	SET TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by defending the response will be response within the set or extended period for response will 	s, a response within the statu efault, expire SIX (6) MONTH	atory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timel IS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status /	1 1	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	3/27/01	
☐ This action is FINAL.	7 7 - 1	•
 Since this application is in condition for allowance excep accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19 		
Disp sition of Claims		
Claim(s) $1-67$ Of the above claim(s) $1-20, 23, 26, 25$ $\Box \text{ Claim(s)} \qquad \qquad$	56,3	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s) $1-20, 23, 26, 2$	7.30-46.53 6	1-65 is/are withdrawn from consideration
□ Claim(s) 26		is/are allowed
Claim(s) 21, 22, 24, 25, 24, 25	9 47-57 56 11	is/are allowed.
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	9, 47-52,54-60	/_ 66,0/is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)	4 N	is/are objected to.
□ Claim(s)	9	are subject to restriction or election
Application Papers		requirement.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin	ng Review, PTO-948.	
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on 	•	☐ disapproved.
•	is 🗆 approved	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objection.	is 🗆 approved	
 □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved	
 □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved cted to by the Examiner. Index 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) the priority documents I)-(d). have been
 □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved cted to by the Examiner. Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) the priority documents I)-(d). have been
 □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved cted to by the Examiner. Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) the priority documents I per))-(d). have been
 □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved cted to by the Examiner. Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) the priority documents I per))-(d). have been Rule 1 7.2(a)).
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved cted to by the Examiner. Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) the priority documents I err) ernational Bureau (PCT)-(d). have been
 □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved cted to by the Examiner. Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) the priority documents I err) ernational Bureau (PCT)-(d). have been Rule 1 7.2(a)).

Art Unit: 1617

Λ

Applicant's election with traverse of claims 21-27, 29, 47-60, 66, 67, a microorganism neutraceutical encapsulant and durum wheat as a plasticizable matrix in Paper No. 15 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the product contains the plasticizer of the process and the intermediate product product claims recite no limitations outside of the final product claims, that is, they are not mutually exclusive. This is not found persuasive because the plasticizer, namely water, is removed by drying and, therefore, is not present in the product and the intermediate product loses its particulate identity in the final product such as a liquid soup or gel-like pudding. As to claim 29, "food topping" in the preamble is not a patentable limitation, but merely an intended use. Applicant's comments regarding the elections of species are mere opinion.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 21, 22, 24, 25, (28) 29, 47-52, 54-60, 66, 67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Van Lengerich

Van Lengerich (WO 98/18610) teaches particles comprising an encapsulated active (Abstract) Microorganisms (page 14, line 23) and durum wheat (page 12 line 3) are specified. As

Application/Control Number: 09/233,443

Art Unit: 1617

to the claimed process, it is not considered a patentable limitation in composition claims during prosecution before the PTO.

Claims 21-22, 24, 25, 47-60, 66, 67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 25 contains numerous grammatical and spelling errors. For example, on page 37 line 3, a comma is missing after "acepromazine". On page 40, line 3 "aminosalicylic" is misspelled. In addition, phrases specifying metal salts, such as "barium salts" on page 38 line 10 are vague. Abbreviations such as "ISMN" on page 43 line 4 are vague. There are compounds which are recited twice, such as nifedipine on page 41 line 6 and page 43 line 3.

In claims 21, 23, 66, 67 "substantially" is vague; what percent?

No claims allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Webman whose telephone number is (703) 308-4432. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, M. Moezie, can be reached on (703) 308-0570. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3592.

Application/Control Number: 09/233,443

Page 4

Art Unit: 1617

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Webman:mv

June 5, 2001

